ABRF-sPRG 2018-2019: Development and Characterization of a Stable-Isotope Labeled Phosphopeptide Standard Anthony Herren¹, Brian Searle², Ryan Leib³, Kimberly Lee⁴, Bhavin Patel⁵, David Hawke⁶, Paul Stemmer⁷, Alexandre Rosa Campos⁸, Allis Chien³, Antonius Koller⁹ ¹University of California, Davis, CA; ²Institute for Systems Biology, Seattle, WA/Proteome Software, Portland, OR; ³Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA; ⁴Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA; ⁵Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL; ⁶UT MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX; ⁷Wayne State University, Detroit, MI; ⁸Sanford Burnham Prebys Medical Institute, La Jolla, CA; ⁹Northeastern University, Boston, MA

143 single

Introduction

The mission of the ABRF Proteomics Standards Research Group (sPRG) is to identify and implement technical standards that reflect the ABRF's commitment to accuracy, clarity, and consistency in the field of proteomics. There is broad interest in quantifying protein phosphorylation alterations in cellular signaling pathways under different conditions. The transient nature and low abundance of many phosphorylation sites makes this analysis challenging. Here we report on the follow up of a multi-year sPRG study designed to target various issues encountered in phosphopeptide experiments

- We have constructed a pool of over 150 heavy-labeled phosphopeptides from seven different signaling pathways that will enable core facilities to rapidly develop phosphopeptide assays.
- We previously benchmarked this standard in a cross lab study where we mixed the standard into an activated HeLa tryptic digest and distributed to over 60 ABRF member and nonmember laboratories around the world. We asked participants to enrich phosphopeptides and report ratios of the heavy phosphopeptides to the endogenous levels.
- In the current "invite-only" study, we continue validation of the standard within various RG group/ABRF members' laboratories using an optimized phosphopeptide enrichment protocol and instrument acquisition method parameters.
- This pool will enable researchers to test the effectiveness of their enrichment workflows, act as an internal enrichment and chromatography calibrant, and as a pre-built biological assay for a wide variety of signaling pathways.



The sPRG prepared protein lysates from activated HeLa cells and digested with trypsin on S-Trap columns (Protifi). Study participants were sent 10pmol of pure heavy isotope phospho-peptide standard (Thermo) and 1mg of tryptic HeLa lysate spiked with 5pmol of heavy standard (Thermo). Participants were provided standardized and optimized methods to enrich phospho-peptides (IMAC, CST) and acquire LC-MS/MS data using DIA (8X gas phase fractions). Raw data was analyzed by the sPRG using Skyline.

Generating a Synthetic Phosphopeptide Standard Year 1: 2016-2017

Site breakdown:

96 Serine 26 Threonine 36 Tyrosine

isotope heavy phosphopeptides from 89 proteins associated with known signaling pathways and commercially available antibodies



Occupancy breakdown:



By necessity of synthesis and detection, many contain missed cleavages and are longer length



Phospho-peptides span wide dynamic range in previous DDA experiments (Phosphopedia database)



Balanced across chromatographic retention time for use as internal RT standard

Peptide Type	Count	Percent
good signal	121	85.8%
low signal	6	4.3%
smear	9	6.4%
no signal	5	3.5%

characterization of the Initial pooled heavy isotope phosphopeptide standard using PRM gave clean fragmentation for 86% of peptides (2pmol injected on Thermo Fusion, QE, or QE-HF)

100 **Time** vieasured 09 08

participants

Cross-lab Heavy/Light Phosphopeptide Quantification Phosphopeptide Detection in Spiked Lysates Year 2: 2017-2018 Cross-lab ratio analysis is more consistent with DIA and fragment level quantification Open sample prep and acquisition methods N=15 participating labs **Fragment-level** Precursor-level Phospho-peptide standard as observed by study participants (n=15) had a 100 of detection wide range with efficiencies some reporting only the heavy spike-in and not endogenous



Poor cross-lab reproducibility;

most peptides were observed at

least once but with only a few

being detected by multiple



Year 3: 2018-2019

Standardized sample prep and acquisition methods N=7 participating labs

Phospho enrichment = CST IMAC LC-MS/MS acquisition = 8X gas phase fractionated DIA injections each with 4 m/z fully overlapping windows spanning 100 m/z across a 400-1200 total m/z range





Excellent reproducibility across labs standardized enrichment with and acquisition methods; on average 126 peptides were detected 54 and quantified (81% and 35% respectively of the total possible)

A Skyline RT calculator built off the heavy standard reliably predicted peptide RTs across labs



SHSESASPSALSSSPNNLSPTGWSQPK ??? SHSESASPSALSS<mark>S[+80]</mark>PNNLSPTGW**SQPK Z** 30 SHSESA<mark>S[+80]</mark>PSALSSSPNNLSPTGWSQPK Light 82 **Retention** Time è 60 -82 **Retention Time** IADPEHDHTGFLT[+80]EYVATR d<mark>₽</mark>₽ --€ IADPEHDHTGFLTEY[+80]VATR LIIQSSNGHITTT[+80]PTPTQFLCPK a -e LIIQSSNGHITTTPT[+80]PTQFLCPK LS[+80]SLRASTSKSESSQK LSSLRA<mark>S[+80]</mark>TSKSESSQK · • • • • NDSVIVADQT[+80]PTPTR NDSVIVADQTPT[+80]PTR d⁰ 0 - 6

(Endogenous) Heavy (Spike) SHSESAS[+80]PSALSSSPNNLSPTGWSQPK SHSESASPSALSSS[+80]PNNLSPTGWSQPK

200 400 600

Participant 1 Fold Change (MS2)

Interpretation of complex phosphopeptide signatures and positional isomers is aided by heavy standards and DIA acquisition

Participant 1 Fold Change (MS1)

Log10(Light/Heavy) Ratio